



UNIVERSITY OF
MARYLAND

A PI's View of Discovery Program Missions

Mike A'Hearn
PI, Deep Impact

Background



- Presentation is not by NASA
 - Based on my personal experience as a PI
 - I started with negligible real mission experience
 - Thoughts are aimed primarily at PIs with limited experience
- All Discovery Program missions are different
 - Different hardware
 - Different mission profiles
 - Different partners and personalities
 - Different management structures
- What is the same?
 - PI is in charge
 - PI is responsible to NASA
 - For NASA and for a center, your mission may be just one of many - for you, its success is your primary goal
 - Goal is scientific return with public dissemination of results

Proposal & CSR Phases



- Competitive situation inhibits some activities
- Pay attention to scientific requirements and how they flow down to engineering requirements
- Pay attention to heritage
 - Build to print is great heritage
 - Much other heritage is a selling point but don't count on it for controlling cost
- Better is the enemy of good enough
- Be there
 - Face-to-face communication is better
 - Engineers ask questions that affect design if you are there but they won't call you to ask the same question

Communication



- Pay attention to language - every institution has its own language
 - Scientists vs. engineers, NASA centers vs. aerospace corporations, even one NASA center to another
 - Misunderstanding the detailed meaning of words can lead to major errors in costing
- Pay attention to both personal and institutional philosophy
 - Different design philosophy can cause serious problems if not recognized and resolved very early
 - Different risk tolerance can cause serious problems if not recognized and resolved very early

Implementation Phase (B/C/D/E)



- Relationship to NASA
 - Constraints due to competition no longer apply and NASA is no longer trying to decide whether you should be allowed to fly
 - NASA will be almost as embarrassed as you if you fail after selection
 - Work closely with program scientist and program executive so they know in advance what you are likely to come asking for.
 - They should be your advocates within HQ.
 - They also are in a position to advise on center and partner issues

Implementation Phase (B/C/D/E)



- Continuity is important
 - If a key person is performing well, don't let NASA or the center or the corporate partner re-assign him/her to another project
 - Key personnel include project manager, systems engineer, subsystem leads, and so on
 - If a key person is not performing well, act early, whether to improve performance or to replace the person
 - Proposal and CSR set a philosophy for the project and key players need to be on with that philosophy from the beginning
- Document everything in case personnel changes become unavoidable

Implementation cont.



- Avoid Requirements Creep
 - Don't try to make the science better if it costs money
 - Increasing risk aversion has costs - be alert to unfunded mandates related to risk mitigation
- PI & PM
 - Don't try to do each other's jobs, but!
 - Understanding each other's jobs is crucial because decisions by one affect the other
 - Understanding each other's strengths and weaknesses is also very important
 - Must work very closely and intensively together
 - PM should report regularly to PI, but!
 - PI needs to be present to the engineers, but without undercutting the PM

Reserves



- Reserves are for things that can't be anticipated
- Things will go wrong where you least expected them to do so
- Don't spend reserves early just because you have them, e.g.
 - Trade studies are not a use for reserves unless something went wrong
 - Adding hardware is not a use for reserves unless something went wrong